# Development of the String-Driven Haptic Display SPIDAR

*A Comprehensive Review of Its History and Technological Evolution*

## Introduction: Fundamental Principles of SPIDAR and the Technological Paradigm of Haptic Feedback

In virtual reality (VR), haptic feedback technology, which provides the operator's hand and fingers with real-time feedback regarding properties such as an object's mass, stiffness, friction, and surface geometry, is an essential technological element for deepening human-computer interaction. SPIDAR (SPace Interface Device for Artificial Reality), proposed and developed in 1989 by Professor Makoto Sato and colleagues at the Tokyo Institute of Technology, is a distinctive haptic feedback mechanism that uses the tension of strings (wires/cords). It represented an innovative approach for overcoming physical limitations associated with conventional haptic interfaces [1].

Conventional rigid-link haptic devices, such as devices based on robotic-arm structures, present inherent challenges: the mass of the mechanism itself, as well as undesirable inertia and friction at the joints, can be transmitted directly to the operator and thereby impair the fidelity of subtle haptic sensations. In contrast, SPIDAR was designed with inspiration from the way spiders sense and transmit minute vibrations and forces through their webs [2]. Its basic structure consists of a frame surrounding the interaction space, motors, pulleys, rotary encoders, and lightweight strings connecting the motors to the manipulation unit (end effector) [4].

In the SPIDAR control system, when the operator moves an end effector such as a finger ring or grip, rotary encoders directly coupled to the motor shafts measure the payout length of each string with high precision [4]. From these changes in string length, the three-dimensional position and orientation of the interaction point are calculated in real time using geometric calculations based on triangulation principles [1]. At the same time, when contact, collision, or other physical interaction occurs with an object in the virtual environment, the computer generates the required reaction-force vector. Each DC motor then applies controlled tension to its string, and the resulting combination of tensions presents an accurate vector force to the operator [3].

This string-driven mechanism provides three decisive technical advantages: (1) low mechanical inertia and friction, resulting in a lightweight mechanism with virtually no self-weight load during operation; (2) transparency, because the thin strings minimally obstruct the visual field and workspace; and (3) scalability, because changing the scale of the surrounding frame allows the same basic principle to be adapted flexibly from desktop configurations to room-scale interaction spaces [3].

## Chronological Development of SPIDAR and Evolution of Major Models

### Late 1980s-1990s: Establishment of Fundamental Haptic Theory and Diversification of Basic Models

Research and development of SPIDAR began in the late 1980s, and the earliest prototype system was constructed in 1989 [3]. At the time, there was strong demand for new input/output devices that could support three-dimensional shape modeling on computers and intuitive manipulation of objects in virtual environments [8].

In 1991, Makoto Sato, Yukihiro Hirata, Hiroshi Kawarada, and colleagues published the original paper "Proposal of a Space Interface Device: SPIDAR," which established a mathematical formulation for position measurement and haptic feedback based on string-tension control [11]. Early models primarily tracked the three-dimensional translational position of a single fingertip point (3 DOF) and presented information such as virtual-object surface geometry, collision forces, and a sense of weight [10].

By the mid-1990s, efforts to broaden the range of SPIDAR applications had expanded. In 1994, Networked SPIDAR was developed to enable multiple operators at remote locations to collaborate within a shared virtual workspace while exchanging visual, auditory, and haptic information [10]. In the same year, the theory and system architecture of a Bimanual SPIDAR were developed, enabling ergonomic two-handed cooperative actions such as grasping, pushing, and pulling virtual objects [10].

In the latter half of the 1990s, Scalable-SPIDAR (Human-Scale SPIDAR) was proposed for large interaction spaces integrated with immersive large-screen visualization systems such as CAVE environments [3]. An experimental prototype with a large cubic frame of approximately 27 $m^3$ (3 m x 3 m x 3 m), for example, allowed an operator to walk within the frame and experience wide-area haptic interaction involving whole-body movement. Such systems were applied to environments for architectural design and the evaluation of large-scale equipment [15].

## 2000s: Achievement of Six-Degree-of-Freedom Control and Expansion into Industrial and Academic Applications

The most significant breakthrough in SPIDAR technology during the 2000s was the completion of six-degree-of-freedom (6-DOF) haptic feedback technology, which simultaneously enabled measurement not only of end-effector position (three translational axes) but also orientation (three rotational axes), together with presentation of force (three axes) and torque (three axes).

SPIDAR-G, introduced in 2000, adopted a geometric configuration in which eight strings were attached to a grip-type end effector and their tensions were controlled independently by eight DC motors [4]. Position coordinates and orientation angles (roll, pitch, and yaw) were derived with high precision from the eight string-length measurements. At the same time, rotational torque feedback was realized by solving an optimization problem for motor tensions [4]. With the advent of SPIDAR-G, it became possible to reproduce haptic sensations for complex tasks involving twisting and tilting, such as manipulation of surgical attachments in medical simulators and precision tools in 3D CAD environments [5].

To support delicate and dexterous finger operations, the SPIDAR-U multi-finger model was developed to provide independent haptic feedback to the thumb and other fingers, while SPIDAR-GS was developed to provide a full-scale working area [9]. Field-specific academic applications were also explored, including HaptiChem, a chemistry education support system that allows users to assemble and observe molecular structures while feeling electrostatic interactions and intermolecular forces [17].

Technology transfer to industry also progressed during this period. Through ARACHNOFORCE and distributors such as Nihon Binary, commercial models were supplied to the market, including the standard SPIDAR-G, SPIDAR-G(s) equipped with high-torque coreless motors, and SPIDAR-G2, which incorporated a Haptic Controller for high-speed encoder processing at the hardware level [4].

## 2010s: Miniaturization, Mobility, Multi-Finger Interaction, and Wearability

During the 2010s, the spread of mobile devices and head-mounted displays (HMDs), together with advances in Web technologies, led to substantial diversification in SPIDAR form factors.

SPIDAR-mouse, proposed in 2010, was designed to address the high cost and limited accessibility of conventional haptic devices by greatly simplifying the hardware configuration and reducing cost [17]. The system was opened for easy control from general-purpose development environments such as Web browsers (Flash/ActionScript), Unity, and Processing, with the aim of making haptic technology more accessible to general users and Web-content developers [19].

From 2011 to 2012, SPIDAR-I (Inner Strings Haptic Device) was developed with a fundamentally redesigned structural concept [1]. The large external frame required by earlier models was eliminated, and the strings, motors, pulleys, and mechanical components were all integrated within a palm-sized grip [1]. By reconstructing high-precision algorithms for position, orientation, and haptic-force computation, the system achieved extreme miniaturization while maintaining high computational fidelity. In 2012, it was introduced internationally on the BBC technology program Click [1].

For mobile devices and educational applications, SPIDAR-Tablet was developed to provide haptic feedback on a touch-panel surface, while the ultra-compact SPIDAR-S (2015) directly drove one-degree-of-freedom string tension using an audio signal output from a 3.5-mm headphone jack on a smartphone or similar device [10]. SPIDAR-S consisted of a single string, a single motor, and a headphone connection, enabling low-cost, intuitive haptic experiences for mobile applications and elementary education, including learning environments for pulleys and mechanics [22].

As an advanced example of bimanual multi-finger interaction, SPIDAR-10 was developed in 2014 to provide independent haptic feedback to all ten fingers of both hands [17]. A total of twenty wires extended from two rotating cylindrical frames, one for each hand, enabling complex and dexterous virtual-object manipulation using all fingers of both hands [25].

In the latter half of the 2010s, development accelerated toward body-worn devices. SPIDAR-W (2018), a wearable haptic display with three-degree-of-freedom mechanisms for both hands mounted on a chest-worn frame, and SPIDAR-HMD (2018), which integrated motors and string mechanisms into the outer frame of an HMD, enabled portable VR environments that were no longer dependent on externally installed frames [17].

### 2020s: Multimodal Integration of Contact, Friction, and Thermal Sensation and Evolution toward Composite XR Environments

The forefront of SPIDAR research in the 2020s has been its evolution beyond the presentation of reaction force alone (kinesthetic sensation) toward multimodal haptic interfaces integrating multiple sensory modalities.

SPIDAR-Fr, introduced in 2021, incorporates DC motors, rotary encoders, and brake modules into an HMD-integrated frame, enabling precise presentation of contact with rigid objects and surface friction [17]. In addition, extended versions of SPIDAR-Tablet combined with pen-type devices incorporating Peltier elements and related components have enabled simultaneous presentation at the fingertip not only of virtual-object stiffness and friction but also transient temperature changes (thermal sensation) [17].

Work has also progressed on composite XR environments that integrate SPIDAR-W, an infinite-walking locomotion device driven by stepping motions, and modern VR HMDs such as HTC Vive, thereby combining visual information, locomotor sensation, and bimanual haptic feedback at a high level [29].

## Structural Specifications and Technical Comparison of Major Models

The following table summarizes the physical specifications, supported degrees of freedom, mechanical characteristics, and principal applications of major models that appeared throughout the development history of SPIDAR.

| Model | Period | Supported DOF (Position / Haptic Feedback) | Structural / Mechanical Features | Primary Applications / Workspace Scale |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Original SPIDAR | 1989-1991 | 3-DOF translation / 1-3 DOF | External cubic frame; finger-ring end effector; basic string-tension control [3] | Fundamental virtual workspace; verification of 3D shape modeling [8] |
| Scalable-SPIDAR | Late 1990s | 3-DOF / 3-DOF | Very large frame (e.g., 27 $m^3$); wide-area string arrangement; integration with CAVE systems [15] | Human-scale VR; architectural and large-equipment operation simulation [15] |
| SPIDAR-G / G2 | 2000 | 6-DOF (3 translation + 3 rotation) / 6-DOF | Eight strings and eight motors; grip-type manipulation unit; integrated hardware control board [4] | High-precision desktop operation; medical surgical simulation; commercial industrial model [5] |
| SPIDAR-mouse | 2010 | 2-3 DOF / 1-2 DOF | Simplified low-cost structure; open design for Web/Flash/Unity [17] | Web entertainment; social games; interactive art [19] |
| SPIDAR-I | 2011-2012 | 6-DOF / 6-DOF | Inner-string structure; strings, motors, and pulleys fully integrated inside the grip [1] | Palm-sized portable operation; desktop VR independent of an external frame [1] |
| SPIDAR-Tablet | 2011-2018 | 2-3 DOF / 1-2 DOF | Mounted around tablet screen; wireless (BLE) support; pen-type thermal-feedback attachment [17] | Mobile physics learning support (pulleys and thermodynamics experiments); portable VR education [17] |
| SPIDAR-10 | 2014 | Independent feedback to all ten fingers (multi-finger haptics) | Two rotating cylindrical frames; 20 wires with independent multi-axis control [17] | Advanced dexterous bimanual/multi-finger manipulation; multipoint enclosure of virtual objects |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | [25] |
| SPIDAR-S | 2015 | 1-DOF translation / 1-DOF | Single string and single motor; direct control using audio signal from a smartphone 3.5-mm headphone jack [10] | Ultra-compact, low-cost haptic interface for smartphones [17] |
| SPIDAR-W | 2018 | 3-DOF per hand (6 DOF total) | Chest-mounted frame; wire-driven wearable structure for both hands [17] | Wearable VR; environments integrated with walking-locomotion devices [27] |
| SPIDAR-Fr | 2021 | Multi-axis force + contact, friction, and thermal sensation | HMD-integrated frame; DC motors + brake modules + Peltier thermal elements [17] | Highly immersive multimodal XR interface integrating force, contact, friction, and thermal sensation [17] |

## Mechanisms of Technological Evolution and Multidimensional Analysis

An analysis of the development history of SPIDAR from technological and conceptual perspectives reveals three important paradigm shifts in the field of haptics.

The first shift is a redefinition of the spatial reference frame from an “externally fixed spatial reference” to an “intrinsic/body-worn reference.” Early SPIDAR systems required a rigid cubic frame fixed around the workspace, and the operable region was constrained by the physical volume of that frame [4]. However, optimization of computational algorithms and miniaturization of the mechanism enabled the grip-integrated inner-string architecture of SPIDAR-I and the body- and head-mounted architectures of SPIDAR-W and SPIDAR-HMD [1]. As a result, the absolute origin of spatial coordinates shifted conceptually from the environment to the operator’s body, allowing free spatial movement and haptic feedback without the same restrictions imposed by a fixed installation location.

The second shift is the evolution from a single kinesthetic modality (force sensation) to multimodal integration encompassing cutaneous sensation and physical properties of the environment. Whereas early SPIDAR systems primarily transmitted reaction forces, gravity, and collision forces acting on muscles and joints, more recent models represented by SPIDAR-Fr incorporate braking of contact friction through brake modules and control of transient heat transfer through thermal elements [17]. By synchronously presenting kinesthetic sensation and cutaneous sensations (contact, friction, and temperature) at the same end effector in accordance with human haptic perception mechanisms, these systems substantially enhance the perceptual realism and material-quality cues of virtual objects.

The third shift is the opening of the technology from specialized experimental equipment to general-purpose and broadly accessible interfaces. In contrast to early systems that were expensive and required dedicated control PCs, SPIDAR-mouse, SPIDAR-S, and SPIDAR-Tablet were designed to operate using common Web standards, game engines, and standard smartphone input/output interfaces such as audio jacks and BLE wireless communication [19]. This approach to generalization lowered the barrier to adoption of haptic technology and broadened its impact across education, entertainment, social content, and other fields.

## Conclusion

The SPIDAR haptic display, pioneered by Professor Makoto Sato and colleagues at the Tokyo Institute of Technology, has undergone more than three decades of continuous technological innovation while remaining grounded in the simple mechanical principle of string-driven actuation [1]. From the establishment of fundamental theory in the late 1980s, through complete six-degree-of-freedom control and industrial deployment in the 2000s, miniaturization, wearability, and multi-finger interaction in the 2010s, and multimodal integration and composite XR environments in the 2020s, the evolution of SPIDAR has closely paralleled the development of the haptic-interface field itself [1].

The fundamental design philosophy of SPIDAR - high transient responsiveness with minimal self-weight and friction, spatial transparency, and freely scalable workspace design - is expected to continue playing an important role as a core technology for embodied interaction in contemporary spatial computing, metaverse applications, telemedicine, and robotic teleoperation.

## References

1. “Development of a Haptic Interface for Accurate Reproduction of Force and Tactile Sensations - Bringing Virtual Reality Closer to Everyday Experience,” Tokyo Institute of Technology News, https://www.titech.ac.jp/news/2012/025572

2. U&C 3D Forum Report, https://www.forum8.co.jp/topic/3dforum114.htm

3. “SPIDAR and virtual reality,” Semantic Scholar, https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/SPIDAR-and-virtual-reality-Sato/98cb24c2ba2bb29245afa9213eb1bcb143d0a27c

4. “6-DOF Wire-Driven Haptic Interface SPIDAR-G2,” Nihon Binary Co., Ltd., http://www.nihonbinary.co.jp/Products/VR/Haptic/SPIDAR/index_en.html

5. “ARACHNOFORCE Haptic Feedback Device SPIDAR-G2,” Nihon Binary Co., Ltd., http://www.nihonbinary.co.jp/Products/VR/Haptic/SPIDAR/SPIDAR-G.html

6. “90,” https://icat.vrsj.org/papers/98090.pdf

7. “Development of the Inner-String Haptic Interface SPIDAR-I” [Japanese], http://www.interaction-ipsj.org/archives/paper2012/data/Interaction2012/oral/data/pdf/12INT015.pdf

8. “Space Interface Device for Artificial Reality - SPIDAR -,” ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/398951008_Space_Interface_Device_for_Artificial_Reality_-_SPIDAR_-

9. “ARACHNOFORCE Haptic Feedback Device SPIDAR-GS,” Nihon Binary Co., Ltd., http://www.nihonbinary.co.jp/Products/VR/Haptic/SPIDAR/SPIDAR-GS.html

10. “Development of String-based Force Display: SPIDAR,” Semantic Scholar, https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Development-of-String-based-Force-Display%3A-SPIDAR-Sato/edd233f0fd845c3f5d41854940336e9dc2db993a

11. “Proposal of a Space Interface Device: SPIDAR” [Japanese], https://search.ieice.org/bin/pdf_link.php?category=D&fname=j74-d2_7_887&lang=J&year=1991

12. “Space Interface Device for Artificial Reality. SPIDAR.,” J-GLOBAL, https://jglobal.jst.go.jp/en/detail?JGLOBAL_ID=200902042763461315

13. “4-2 3D Space-shared Communications,” NICT, https://www.nict.go.jp/publication/shuppan/kihou-journal/journal-vol48no3/toku4-2.pdf

14. “Proposal of a Space Interface Device: SPIDAR” [Japanese bibliographic information], J-GLOBAL, https://jglobal.jst.go.jp/detail?JGLOBAL_ID=200902042763461315

15. “Immersive VR Environment D-vision and Multimodal Interaction” [Japanese], Ritsumeikan University, http://www.arc.ritsumei.ac.jp/archive01/jimu/publications/bunkazai/04/bunkazai-04.pdf

16. “Faculty Profiles - HASEGAWA SHOICHI,” https://strdb.s.isct.ac.jp/html/100002065_en.html

17. “Makoto SATO | Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo | TITech | Materials and Structures Laboratory | Research profile,” ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Makoto-Sato-8

18. “3D Haptic Devices / Software” [Japanese], Nihon Binary Co., Ltd., http://www.nihonbinary.co.jp/Products/VR/Haptic/index.html

19. “SPIDAR-MOUSE,” http://shock-a-thon.org/2014/files/output/140706_shocktahon_SPIDAR-mouse.pdf

20. “Interaction 2010” [Japanese], http://www.interaction-ipsj.org/2010/catalogue/catalogue3.html

21. “Development of Inner Strings Haptic Interface SPIDAR-I,” https://icat.vrsj.org/ICAT2011_Proceedings/pdf/135-Zhu.pdf

22. “Proposal of SPIDAR-S, a Haptic Display Device Attachable to Mobile Terminals Using Audio Signals” [Japanese], https://www.interaction-ipsj.org/proceedings/2015/data/20150226/A04.pdf

23. “Learning Support System Using a Tablet Device and a Portable Force-Feedback Device - SPIDAR-tablet” [Japanese; title as given in source is truncated], https://www.jsise.org/taikai/2013/program/contents/pdf/TE1-4.pdf

24. “KAKEN - Researcher Search | Makoto Sato (50114872)” [Japanese], NII, https://nrid.nii.ac.jp/ja/nrid/1000050114872/

25. “Proposal for Frame Control of a Movable-Frame Bimanual Multi-Finger Haptic Display” [Japanese], https://www.interaction-ipsj.org/proceedings/2014/data/20140220/14INT016.pdf

26. “Interaction 2014 - Catalog” [Japanese], http://www.interaction-ipsj.org/2014/catalog.html

27. “ARACHNOFORCE Haptic Feedback Device SPIDAR-W,” Nihon Binary Co., Ltd., http://www.nihonbinary.co.jp/Products/VR/Haptic/SPIDAR/SPIDAR-W.html

28. “KAKEN - Researcher Search | Takehiko Yamaguchi (50713442)” [Japanese], NII, https://nrid.nii.ac.jp/ja/nrid/1000050713442/

29. “The 23rd Annual Conference of the Virtual Reality Society of Japan” [Japanese], https://conference.vrsj.org/ac2018/program2018/